Fifth annual conference
of the SFB-TRR 195

Towards the Classification of Symplectic Linear Quotient Singularities Admitting a Symplectic Resolution

Johannes Schmitt
TU Kaiserslautern
16th September 2021

Joint work with
Gwyn Bellamy and Ulrich Thiel
Math. Z. (2021), to appear

Symplectic Groups

Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.

Symplectic Groups

Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.

The space V is called symplectic if there is a bilinear form $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ which is non-degenerate and alternating, i.e. $\omega(v, v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

Symplectic Groups

Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.

The space V is called symplectic if there is a bilinear form $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ which is non-degenerate and alternating, i.e. $\omega(v, v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

For V symplectic define

$$\mathrm{Sp}(V) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \omega(gv, gw) = \omega(v, w)\} \leq \mathrm{GL}(V) .$$

Symplectic Groups

Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.

The space V is called symplectic if there is a bilinear form $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ which is non-degenerate and alternating, i.e. $\omega(v, v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

For V symplectic define

$$\mathrm{Sp}(V) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \omega(gv, gw) = \omega(v, w)\} \leq \mathrm{GL}(V) \ .$$

Example

For $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and $\omega(v, w) := v^\top J_n w$ with $J_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid g^\top J_n g = J_n\} \ .$$

Symplectic Groups

Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.

The space V is called symplectic if there is a bilinear form $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ which is non-degenerate and alternating, i.e. $\omega(v, v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

For V symplectic define

$$\mathrm{Sp}(V) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \omega(gv, gw) = \omega(v, w)\} \leq \mathrm{GL}(V) \ .$$

Symplectic Groups

Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.

The space V is called symplectic if there is a bilinear form $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ which is non-degenerate and alternating, i.e. $\omega(v, v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

For V symplectic define

$$\mathrm{Sp}(V) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \omega(gv, gw) = \omega(v, w)\} \leq \mathrm{GL}(V) .$$

Example

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a vector space. Then $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ is symplectic via

$$\omega\big((v, f), (w, g)\big) = g(v) - f(w) .$$

For $W \leq \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h})$ the induced action on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ is symplectic.

Symplectic Groups

Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.

The space V is called symplectic if there is a bilinear form $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ which is non-degenerate and alternating, i.e. $\omega(v,v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

For V symplectic define

$$\mathrm{Sp}(V) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \omega(gv, gw) = \omega(v,w)\} \leq \mathrm{GL}(V) \ .$$

Example

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a vector space. Then $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ is symplectic via

$$\omega\big((v,f),(w,g)\big) = g(v) - f(w) \ .$$

For $W \leq \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h})$ the induced action on $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ is symplectic.

Fact: $\mathrm{Sp}(V) \leq \mathrm{SL}(V)$.

Linear Quotients

Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$, $|G| < \infty$.

Linear Quotients

Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$, $|G| < \infty$.

Consider

$$V/G := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[V]^G \text{ ``$=$'' space of orbits .}$$

Linear Quotients

Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$, $|G| < \infty$.

Consider

$$V/G := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[V]^G \text{ "=" space of orbits .}$$

C_2

Let $C_2 := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \leq \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\mathbb{C}[u, v, w]/\langle uv - w^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y]^{C_2} \ .$$

Linear Quotients

Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$, $|G| < \infty$.

Consider

$$V/G := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[V]^G \text{ “=” space of orbits .}$$

C_2

Let $C_2 := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \leq \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\mathbb{C}[u, v, w]/\langle uv - w^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y]^{C_2} \text{ .}$$



Linear Quotients

Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$, $|G| < \infty$.

Consider

$$V/G := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[V]^G \text{ “=” space of orbits .}$$

C_2

Let $\mathrm{C}_2 := \left\langle \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right\rangle \leq \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\mathbb{C}[u, v, w]/\langle uv - w^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\mathrm{C}_2} \ .$$



Classical fact

The variety V/G is smooth if and only if G is generated by reflections, i.e. $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ with $\mathrm{rk}(g - 1) = 1$.

Linear Quotients

Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$, $|G| < \infty$.

Consider

$$V/G := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[V]^G \text{ “=” space of orbits .}$$

C_2

Let $C_2 := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \leq \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\mathbb{C}[u, v, w]/\langle uv - w^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}[x, y]^{C_2} .$$



Classical fact

The variety V/G is smooth if and only if G is generated by reflections, i.e. $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ with $\mathrm{rk}(g - 1) = 1$.

Corollary

If V is symplectic and $G \leq \mathrm{Sp}(V)$, then V/G is singular.

Symplectic Resolutions

Resolutions

A resolution of V/G is a smooth variety X and a proper birational morphism $X \to V/G$.

Symplectic Resolutions

Resolutions

A resolution of V/G is a smooth variety X and a proper birational morphism $X \to V/G$.



Symplectic Resolutions



Resolutions

A resolution of V/G is a smooth variety X and a proper birational morphism $X \to V/G$.

If $G \leq \mathrm{Sp}(V)$, then V/G has a symplectic structure.

Symplectic Resolutions



Resolutions

A resolution of V/G is a smooth variety X and a proper birational morphism $X \to V/G$.

If $G \leq \mathrm{Sp}(V)$, then V/G has a symplectic structure.

Symplectic resolutions (Beauville, 2000)

A symplectic resolution of V/G is a resolution $\varphi : X \to V/G$, where X is a symplectic variety and φ is an isomorphism of symplectic varieties over the smooth locus.

Symplectic Resolutions



Resolutions

A resolution of V/G is a smooth variety X and a proper birational morphism $X \to V/G$.

If $G \leq \mathrm{Sp}(V)$, then V/G has a symplectic structure.

Symplectic resolutions (Beauville, 2000)

A symplectic resolution of V/G is a resolution $\varphi : X \to V/G$, where X is a symplectic variety and φ is an isomorphism of symplectic varieties over the smooth locus.

In general, those do not exist!

The Classification Problem

Classification problem

Classify all $G \leq \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ for which V/G admits a (projective) symplectic resolution.

The Classification Problem

Classification problem

Classify all $G \leq \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ for which V/G admits a (projective) symplectic resolution.

We only need to consider irreducible tuples (V, ω, G).

The Classification Problem

Classification problem

Classify all $G \leq \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ for which V/G admits a (projective) symplectic resolution.

We only need to consider irreducible tuples (V, ω, G).

$\dim V = 2$

If $G \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, then there is always a symplectic resolution.
$\rightarrow$ "Kleinian singularities"

The Classification Problem

Classification problem

Classify all $G \leq \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ for which V/G admits a (projective) symplectic resolution.

We only need to consider irreducible tuples (V, ω, G).

$\dim V = 2$

If $G \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, then there is always a symplectic resolution.
$\rightarrow$ "Kleinian singularities"

Theorem (Verbitsky, 2000)

If V/G admits a symplectic resolution, then G is generated by symplectic reflections, i.e. $g \in G$ with $\mathrm{rk}(g - 1) = 2$.

The Classification Problem

Classification problem

Classify all $G \leq \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ for which V/G admits a (projective) symplectic resolution.

We only need to consider irreducible tuples (V, ω, G).

$\dim V = 2$

If $G \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, then there is always a symplectic resolution.
$\rightarrow$ "Kleinian singularities"

Theorem (Verbitsky, 2000)

If V/G admits a symplectic resolution, then G is generated by symplectic reflections, i.e. $g \in G$ with $\mathrm{rk}(g - 1) = 2$.

Example

Let $W \leq \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h})$ be a complex reflection group. Then $W \leq \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)$ is a symplectic reflection group.

Symplectic Reflection Groups

Classification by Cohen, 1980



Symplectic Reflection Groups

Classification by Cohen, 1980

- Symplectic reflection groups $\dim V \geq 4$
  - Improper (complex reflection groups)
  - Proper
    - Symplectically imprimitive
    - Symplectically primitive
      - Complex imprimitive
      - Complex primitive

Symplectic Reflection Groups

Classification by Cohen, 1980



Symplectic Reflection Groups

Classification by Cohen, 1980



open cases 39

Symplectically Primitive, Complex Imprimitive Groups

We consider groups G which are

- symplectically primitive, so there is **no** non-trivial decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$ into symplectic subspaces such that for any $g \in G$ and any i there is j with $g(V_i) = V_j$;
- complex imprimitive, so there exists such a decomposition into (not necessarily symplectic) subspaces.

Symplectically Primitive, Complex Imprimitive Groups

We consider groups G which are

- symplectically primitive, so there is **no** non-trivial decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$ into symplectic subspaces such that for any $g \in G$ and any i there is j with $g(V_i) = V_j$;
- complex imprimitive, so there exists such a decomposition into (not necessarily symplectic) subspaces.

For these groups we have $\dim V = 4$ (Cohen, 1980), so we may assume $V = \mathbb{C}^4$.

Symplectically Primitive, Complex Imprimitive Groups

We consider groups G which are

- symplectically primitive, so there is **no** non-trivial decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$ into symplectic subspaces such that for any $g \in G$ and any i there is j with $g(V_i) = V_j$;
- complex imprimitive, so there exists such a decomposition into (not necessarily symplectic) subspaces.

For these groups we have $\dim V = 4$ (Cohen, 1980), so we may assume $V = \mathbb{C}^4$.

Four infinite families of groups $H \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, e.g. $\mu_{6d}\mathrm{T}$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, leading to $E(H) \leq \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ generated by

$$h^\vee := \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & (h^\top)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } h \in H, \text{ and } s := \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & -1 & \\ & -1 & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Subgroup Structures

Let $H_0 \leq H$ be the largest complex reflection subgroup.

Lemma

The group H_0 is primitive (e.g. G_5 for $H = \mu_6 \mathrm{T}$) and $H_0^\vee$ is a normal subgroup of $E(H)$.

Subgroup Structures

Let $H_0 \leq H$ be the largest complex reflection subgroup.

Lemma

The group H_0 is primitive (e.g. G_5 for $H = \mu_6 \mathrm{T}$) and $H_0^\vee$ is a normal subgroup of $E(H)$.

Lemma

Any $E(H)$ contains a dihedral group D_d as normal subgroup.

Subgroup Structures

Let $H_0 \leq H$ be the largest complex reflection subgroup.

Lemma

The group H_0 is primitive (e.g. G_5 for $H = \mu_6 \mathrm{T}$) and $H_0^\vee$ is a normal subgroup of $E(H)$.

Lemma

Any $E(H)$ contains a dihedral group D_d as normal subgroup.

Write $\mathcal{S}(G) \subseteq G$ for the subset of symplectic reflections.

Lemma

We have $\mathcal{S}(E(H)) = \mathcal{S}(H_0^\vee) \,\dot\cup\, \mathcal{S}(D_d)$, stable under $E(H)$-conjugacy.

1. The Classification Problem

2. The Groups in Question

3. Symplectic Reflection Algebras

4. Conclusion

Symplectic Reflection Algebras

Deep link to representation theory:
Consider deformations of $\mathbb{C}[V] \rtimes G$, called the symplectic reflection algebras $\mathsf{H}_\mathsf{c}(V, G)$.

Symplectic Reflection Algebras

Deep link to representation theory:
Consider deformations of $\mathbb{C}[V] \rtimes G$, called the symplectic reflection algebras $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(V, G)$.

Technical detail

Here $\mathsf{c} : \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a G-conjugacy invariant function.

Symplectic Reflection Algebras

Deep link to representation theory:
Consider deformations of $\mathbb{C}[V] \rtimes G$, called the symplectic reflection algebras $\mathsf{H}_\mathsf{c}(V, G)$.

Technical detail

Here $\mathsf{c} : \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a G-conjugacy invariant function.

Etingof–Ginzburg, 2002; Ginzburg–Kaledin, 2004

If V/G admits a symplectic resolution, then there exists c such that $\dim S = |G|$ for all irreducible $\mathsf{H}_\mathsf{c}(V, G)$-modules S.

Symplectic Reflection Algebras

Deep link to representation theory:
Consider deformations of $\mathbb{C}[V] \rtimes G$, called the symplectic reflection algebras $\mathsf{H}_\mathsf{c}(V, G)$.

Technical detail

Here $\mathsf{c} : \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a G-conjugacy invariant function.

Etingof–Ginzburg, 2002; Ginzburg–Kaledin, 2004

If V/G admits a symplectic resolution, then there exists c such that $\dim S = |G|$ for all irreducible $\mathsf{H}_\mathsf{c}(V, G)$-modules S.

Strategy

Find for all c an irreducible $\mathsf{H}_\mathsf{c}(V, G)$-module S with $\dim S \neq |G|$.

Symplectic Reflection Algebras

Deep link to representation theory:
Consider deformations of $\mathbb{C}[V] \rtimes G$, called the symplectic reflection algebras $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(V, G)$.

Technical detail

Here $\mathsf{c} : \mathcal{S}(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a G-conjugacy invariant function.

Etingof–Ginzburg, 2002; Ginzburg–Kaledin, 2004

If V/G admits a symplectic resolution, then there exists c such that $\dim S = |G|$ for all irreducible $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(V, G)$-modules S.

Strategy

Find for all c an irreducible $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(V, G)$-module S with $\dim S \neq |G|$.

The same strategy was already used for the "improper" symplectic reflection groups.

Subalgebras

Recall the Lemma:

Lemma

We have $\mathcal{S}(E(H)) = \mathcal{S}(H_0^\vee) \,\dot\cup\, \mathcal{S}(D_d)$, stable under $E(H)$-conjugacy.

Subalgebras

Recall the Lemma:

Lemma

We have $\mathcal{S}(E(H)) = \mathcal{S}(H_0^\vee) \,\dot\cup\, \mathcal{S}(D_d)$, stable under $E(H)$-conjugacy.

That means we can "split up" c into two parameters

$$c_1 : \mathcal{S}(H_0^\vee) \to \mathbb{C} \text{ and } c_2 : \mathcal{S}(D_d) \to \mathbb{C} \ .$$

Subalgebras

Recall the Lemma:

Lemma

We have $\mathcal{S}(E(H)) = \mathcal{S}(H_0^\vee) \,\dot\cup\, \mathcal{S}(D_d)$, stable under $E(H)$-conjugacy.

That means we can "split up" c into two parameters

$$\mathsf{c}_1 : \mathcal{S}(H_0^\vee) \to \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \mathsf{c}_2 : \mathcal{S}(D_d) \to \mathbb{C} \ .$$

This gives (sub)algebras

$$\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H_0^\vee) \subseteq \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee) \subseteq \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H)) \leftrightsquigarrow \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H)) \leftrightsquigarrow \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d) \ .$$

Construction of the Module

$\mathsf{H}_{c_1}(H_0^\vee)$

$\cap|$

$\mathsf{H}_{c_1}(H^\vee)$

$\cap|$

$\mathsf{H}_{c_1}(E(H))$

$\updownarrow$

$\mathsf{H}_{c}(E(H))$

$\updownarrow$

$\mathsf{H}_{c_2}(D_d)$

Construction of the Module

Remember: We want to construct an $\mathsf{H_c}(E(H))$-module of dimension $\neq |E(H)|$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H_0^\vee) \\ \rotatebox{90}{$\subseteq$} \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee) \\ \rotatebox{90}{$\subseteq$} \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H)) \\ \updownarrow \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H)) \\ \updownarrow \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d) \end{array}$$

Construction of the Module

Remember: We want to construct an $\mathsf{H}_c(E(H))$-module of dimension $\neq |E(H)|$.

Step 1: Reduction to $\mathsf{H}_{c_1}(H^\vee)$ and D_d.

$$\mathsf{H}_{c_1}(H_0^\vee) \subseteq \mathsf{H}_{c_1}(H^\vee) \subseteq \mathsf{H}_{c_1}(E(H)) \leftrightsquigarrow \mathsf{H}_c(E(H)) \leftrightsquigarrow \mathsf{H}_{c_2}(D_d)$$

Construction of the Module

Remember: We want to construct an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H))$-module of dimension $\neq |E(H)|$.

Step 1: Reduction to $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$ and D_d.

We can induce any simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$-module L to an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H))$-module M with $\dim M = 2 \dim L$.

$$\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H_0^\vee) \subseteq \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee) \subseteq \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H)) \leftrightsquigarrow \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H)) \leftrightsquigarrow \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d)$$

Construction of the Module

Remember: We want to construct an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H))$-module of dimension $\neq |E(H)|$.

Step 1: Reduction to $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$ and D_d.

We can induce any simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$-module L to an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H))$-module M with $\dim M = 2 \dim L$.

Theorem

This is an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H))$-module if and only if all the constituents of $M|_{D_d}$ are c_2-rigid, i.e. if they are isomorphic to a simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d)$-module.

$$\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H_0^\vee) \subseteq \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee) \subseteq \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H)) \leftrightsquigarrow \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H)) \leftrightsquigarrow \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d)$$

Construction of the Module

Remember: We want to construct an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H))$-module of dimension $\neq |E(H)|$.

Step 1: Reduction to $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$ and D_d.

We can induce any simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$-module L to an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H))$-module M with $\dim M = 2 \dim L$.

Theorem

This is an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H))$-module if and only if all the constituents of $M|_{D_d}$ are c_2-rigid, i.e. if they are isomorphic to a simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d)$-module.

Step 2: Reduction to H_0.

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H_0^\vee) \\
\mid\!\cap \\
\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee) \\
\mid\!\cap \\
\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H)) \\
\updownarrow \\
\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H)) \\
\updownarrow \\
\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d)
\end{array}
$$

Construction of the Module

Remember: We want to construct an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H))$-module of dimension $\neq |E(H)|$.

Step 1: Reduction to $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$ and D_d.

We can induce any simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$-module L to an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H))$-module M with $\dim M = 2 \dim L$.

Theorem

This is an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H))$-module if and only if all the constituents of $M|_{D_d}$ are c_2-rigid, i.e. if they are isomorphic to a simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d)$-module.

Step 2: Reduction to H_0.

For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$, we have $\lambda|_{H_0} \in \mathrm{Irr}(H_0)$ giving rise to a simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H_0^\vee)$-module $L(\lambda|_{H_0})$ with $\dim L(\lambda|_{H_0}) \leq |H_0|$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H_0^\vee) \\ \cap| \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee) \\ \cap| \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H)) \\ \updownarrow \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H)) \\ \updownarrow \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d) \end{array}$$

Construction of the Module

Remember: We want to construct an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H))$-module of dimension $\neq |E(H)|$.

Step 1: Reduction to $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$ and D_d.

We can induce any simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$-module L to an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H))$-module M with $\dim M = 2 \dim L$.

Theorem

This is an $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H))$-module if and only if all the constituents of $M|_{D_d}$ are c_2-rigid, i.e. if they are isomorphic to a simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d)$-module.

Step 2: Reduction to H_0.

For $\lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}(H)$, we have $\lambda|_{H_0} \in \mathrm{Irr}(H_0)$ giving rise to a simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H_0^\vee)$-module $L(\lambda|_{H_0})$ with $\dim L(\lambda|_{H_0}) \leq |H_0|$.

Lemma

The module $L(\lambda|_{H_0})$ is a simple $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee)$-module as well.

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H_0^\vee) \\ \cap| \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(H^\vee) \\ \cap| \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_1}(E(H)) \\ \updownarrow \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}}(E(H)) \\ \updownarrow \\ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{c}_2}(D_d) \end{array}$$

1. The Classification Problem

2. The Groups in Question

3. Symplectic Reflection Algebras

4. Conclusion

Results

Conclusion

We can construct an $\mathrm{H}_c(E(H))$-module M with $\dim M < |E(H)|$, if we can find λ such that $L(\lambda|_{H_0})|_{D_d}$ is c_2-rigid and $H_0 \lneq H$.

Results

Conclusion

We can construct an $\mathsf{H_c}(E(H))$-module M with $\dim M < |E(H)|$, if we can find λ such that $L(\lambda|_{H_0})|_{D_d}$ is c_2-rigid and $H_0 \lneq H$.

Theorem

Such a module exists except in possibly 73 cases.

Results

Conclusion

We can construct an $\mathsf{H_c}(E(H))$-module M with $\dim M < |E(H)|$, if we can find λ such that $L(\lambda|_{H_0})|_{D_d}$ is c_2-rigid and $H_0 \lneq H$.

Theorem

Such a module exists except in possibly 73 cases.

Using the MAGMA-package CHAMP (Thiel, 2013) we can improve those theoretical bounds and obtain sharp bounds in many cases:

Results

Conclusion

We can construct an $\mathsf{H_c}(E(H))$-module M with $\dim M < |E(H)|$, if we can find λ such that $L(\lambda|_{H_0})|_{D_d}$ is c_2-rigid and $H_0 \lneq H$.

Theorem

Such a module exists except in possibly 73 cases.

Using the MAGMA-package CHAMP (Thiel, 2013) we can improve those theoretical bounds and obtain sharp bounds in many cases:

Refined Theorem

Such a module exists except in possibly 39 cases. In at least 18 of them no such module exists.

Results

Conclusion

We can construct an $\mathsf{H_c}(E(H))$-module M with $\dim M < |E(H)|$, if we can find λ such that $L(\lambda|_{H_0})|_{D_d}$ is c_2-rigid and $H_0 \lneq H$.

Theorem

Such a module exists except in possibly 73 cases.

Using the MAGMA-package CHAMP (Thiel, 2013) we can improve those theoretical bounds and obtain sharp bounds in many cases:

Refined Theorem

Such a module exists except in possibly 39 cases. In at least 18 of them no such module exists.

Final result (so far)

Let $G \leq \mathsf{Sp}(V)$ be a symplectically primitive complex imprimitive symplectic reflection group. Then the corresponding quotient V/G does not admit a symplectic resolution except in possibly 39 cases.